

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/070,983	03/08/2002	Andre Georges Cook	DN1999227USA	4851	
27280 7	7590 10/25/2004		EXAM	INER	
THE GOODYEAR TIRE & RUBBER COMPANY			BRINSON, 1	BRINSON, PATRICK F	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 823 1144 EAST MARKET STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
AKRON, OH			3754		

DATE MAILED: 10/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/070,983	COOK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Patrick F. Brinson	3754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution and the set of the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution and the set of the maximum statutory period for reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fi tte, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29.	<u>July 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and. 	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac						
Applicant may not request that any objection to th						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic iority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma	nary (PTO-413) il Date				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 325,591 to **Coultaus** in view of U.S. 5,899,237 to **Akedo et al**.

The patent to **Coultaus** discloses a flexible hose comprising a flexible material and a reinforcing rod (B) positioned externally of the outer surface of the hose. The flexible material formed with a terminal end (A), whereby the reinforcing rod has a terminal end located short of the terminal end of the flexible material, allowing the flexible material to become a soft cuff adapted to receive a hose fitting. The hose having a minimum and maximum outer diameter, wherein the maximum outer diameter is created by the reinforcing rod. It is disclosed that the coils form a spiral spring that binds closely at every portion of its length. The internal diameter of the spiral is slightly smaller than the external diameter of the pipe, so that the wire takes a firm hold on the pipe, slightly indenting it if the pipe is made of rubber. **Coultaus** does not disclose the

Art Unit: 3754

reinforcing rod being bonded to the outer layer nor the flexible material comprising multiple layers. The patent to **Akedo et al.** discloses a flexible hose including a flexible material (2) comprised of multiple layers and a spiral reinforcement (4) that is bonded to the flexible material by thermal fusion. **Akedo et al.** also discloses the maximum outer diameter of the hose being created by the reinforcing rod. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the hose of **Coultaus** to include a flexible material of multiple layers and to bond the reinforcing rods to the flexible material both as suggested **Akedo et al.** in order to produce a strong, flexible hose having secured reinforcing rod thereon that provides a flexible soft cuffed end portion and provides wear resistance to the flexible material.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments regarding the **Taylor** reference have been considered. Applicant states that **Taylor** is not relevant because of its use in conjunction with endoscopes and states that the reinforcing rod of **Taylor** does not form the outer diameter of the hose. Applicant further states that there is no motiviation to combine the **Taylor** and **Akedo et al** references since **Taylor** is concerned with tube liners used in medical procedures. **Taylor** is no longer utilized as a reference in the rejection of claim 1. The patent to **Coultaus** discloses a spring armored hose pipe

Art Unit: 3754

similar to both the **Akedo et al.** hose and the hose of the present invention, wherein the purpose of the reinforced rod is to give additional strength and to prevent abrasion of the hose. Therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the hose body of **Coultaus** to have multiple layers as taught by **Akedo et al.** As to the reinforcing wire being bonded to the body, **Coultaus** discloses a means of providing the rod in a tight manner onto the hose so that it will not slide off, thereby producing a hose that functions as the present invention. Bonding of the reinforcing rod to the outer surface is a method step that does not impart distinctive structural characteristics to the hose. However, also as taught by **Akedo et al.**, it would have been obvious to modify the means of providing the rod onto the outer surface of the body by bonding them together, wherein the two processes are interchangeable in achieving the same function.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2 and 3 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Ogren and Goodall discloses reinforced flexible

Art Unit: 3754

hoses wherein the flexible material includes multiple layers. The patents to Kellogg et al., Sneed, Dabney, McGuire and McDonald are pertinent to Applicant's invention in disclosing flexible hoses with reinforcing rods on the outer surface.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Patrick F. Brinson** whose telephone number is (703) 308-0111. As of November 22, 2004, the phone number is (571) 272-4897. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-3:00.

Art Unit: 3754

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Michael Y. Mar** can be reached on (703) 308-2087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patrick F. Brinson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3754

P. F. Brinson October 20, 2004